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## A New RF in MAX-II?

- This question was raised already in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ European RF meeting in Lund 1999. We presented the idea to shift over from our 500 MHz RF system to a 100 MHz system for the MAX-II light source.
- The background was the following:


## MAX-lab

$\bullet^{\bullet \bullet *}$

- It is seen that at normal operation (with our higher harmonic cavities) the machine give us $I \times \tau \approx 4200 \mathrm{mAh}$ pretty constant over a storage period ( 24 hours) of MAX-II. Thus, at 200 mA we have a total lifetime of 21 h , and our measurements point at $\tau_{\text {gas }} \approx 150 \mathrm{~h}$ and $\tau_{\text {Touschek }} \approx 25 \mathrm{~h}$.
$\Rightarrow \quad$ We would gain a lot by increasing Touschek lifetime.
- The MAX-II ring has an energy acceptance of 3-3.5 $\%$, mainly due to the lattice scheme with integrated quadrupole-sextupole magnets. Meanwhile, the RF bucket height is now only $1.7 \%$.
$\Rightarrow \quad$ We could gain by increasing the bucket height.
- The height is roughly given
$\Delta E$ $\qquad$ (neglecting the effect from a low over-
- Instead of, as today, dissipating 18 kW in our cavity (a 3-cell DESY cavity), we would have to dissipate 112 kW (!!!!!!), to reach 3\% bucket height.


## MAX-Iab

$\bullet 0^{\circ}$

- Moreover, the MAX-II ring today houses two SC wigglers, which are both taken into regular operation since September. Each one increases the electron energy loss by $20 \mathrm{keV} /$ turn, when fully excited. This calls for an even higher cavity voltage, to restore some loss of bucket height.


## Power costs!!

$\Rightarrow \quad$ Seems very uneconomical to gain RF acceptance by increasing the voltage!

Look again on the bucket height formula!!

$$
\frac{\Delta E}{E} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2 e \hat{V}_{R F}}{\pi \alpha h E}}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Instead of increasing the cavity voltage we could simply decrease the harmonic number $h!!!!!!!!!!!!!$

## Solution:

$\mathrm{RF}=100 \mathrm{MHz}$ !!

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
h=30 \\
\hat{V}_{R F}=450 \mathrm{kV}
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta E}{E}=3 \%
$$

We have made a design of a 100 MHz capacity-loaded type cavity, with a $R_{s h} \equiv \frac{\hat{V}_{R F}{ }^{2}}{P_{C a v}}=3.54 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ and a $Q$-value of 20500 (ideal values).

Three of these cavities will provide the needed voltage, and

$$
\Rightarrow P_{\text {Cav.Tot }}=19 \mathrm{~kW}
$$

We will use one radio transmitter (ITELCO; eff $\cong 60 \%$ ) for each cavity,
$\Rightarrow$ modular system; operable even if one station
fails

## MAX-lab

How about the Touschek lifetime, when the number electrons per bunch is increasing with a factor of 5 ?
Since the bunch length roughly increases by the same factor, the effects pretty much cancel out.
$\Rightarrow$ Touschek lifetime of 50 to 60 h at 200 mA , ( $5 \%$ coupling, as it is now).

## Solution (cont'd):

Landau cavities???
Of course!!!!!

## But only one!!!

Since, $f_{s}=\sqrt{\frac{h \alpha \cos \phi_{s}}{2 \pi T^{2}} \frac{e \hat{V}_{R F}}{E}}=8 \mathrm{kHz}$, in the new 100
MHz system, the shunt impedance demand to ensure Robinson stability, becomes quite relaxed.
$\Rightarrow$ We plan then to use one 500 MHz pill-box type cavity as a fifth harmonic passive (Landau) cavity. It will alone provide sufficient shunt impedance $R_{s h} \equiv \frac{\hat{V}_{R F}{ }^{2}}{P_{C a v}}=3.65 \mathrm{M} \Omega ; Q$-value : 25200 (ideal values).

With such a cavity the bunches should be elongated roughly a factor of three.
$\Rightarrow$ Touschek lifetime of above 150 h at 200 mA , matching the gas lifetime.

|  | MAX-II present | MAX-II <br> future |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main RF system |  |  |
| Frequency [MHz] | 499.780 | 99.956 |
| Harmonic number | 150 | 30 |
| No of cavity cells | 3 | 3 |
| No of transmitters | 1 | 3 |
| Cell radius [m] | 0.23 | 0.41 |
| Tot length of cavities [m] | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Tot $\mathrm{R}_{\text {shunt }}(\equiv \mathrm{V} * \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{P})[\mathrm{M} \Omega]$ | 20 | 9.6 |
| Q-value | 40000 | 19000 |
| Tot Voltage [kV] | 600 (700) | 450 (530) |
| Cu losses [kW] | 18 (25) | 21 (29) |
| Beam power@250mA [kW] | 35 (50) | 35 (50) |
| Available power [kW] | 75 | 90 |
| Net power [kW] | 106 (150) | 93 (135) |
| Bucket height [\%] | 1.7 | 3.0 |
| Synchrotron frequency [kHz] | 20 | 8 |
| Rms bunch length [cm] | 0.66 | 1.7 |
| Landau cavity system |  |  |
| Frequency [MHz] | 1499.340 | 499.780 |
| No of cavities | 4 | 1 |
| Tot $\mathrm{R}_{\text {shunt }}\left(\equiv \mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{P}\right)[\mathrm{M} \Omega]$ | 8 | 3.4 |
| Q-value | 16000 | 24000 |
| Cu losses @ opt tuning [kW] | 5 | 2.4 (3.3) |
| Double RF bunch length [cm] | 1.9 | 5.3 |
| Touschek lifetime [Ah] | 8 | 38 |


| MAX-III |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Main RF system |  |
| Frequency [MHz] | 99.956 |
| Harmonic number | 12 |
| No of cavity cells | 1 |
| No of transmitters | 1 |
| Cell radius [m] | 0.41 |
| Tot length of cavity [m] | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {shunt }}\left(\equiv \mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{P}\right)[\mathrm{M} \Omega]$ | 3.2 |
| Q-value | 19000 |
| Voltage [kV] | 200 |
| Cu losses [kW] | 12.5 |
| Beam power@ 500mA [kW] | 6.5 |
| Available power [kW] | 30 |
| Net power [kW] | 32 |
| Bucket height [\%] | 2.0 |
| Synchrotron frequency [kHz] | 36 |
| Rms bunch length [cm] | 2.8 |
| Landau cavity system |  |
| Frequency [MHz] | 499.780 |
| No of cavities | 1 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {shunt }}\left(\equiv \mathrm{V}^{*} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{P}\right)[\mathrm{M} \Omega]$ | 3.4 |
| Q-value | 24000 |
| Cu losses@ opt tuning [kW] | 0.5 |
| Double RF bunch length [cm] | 6.7 |
| Touschek lifetime @ 10\% coup [Ah] | 4.5 |

Q s.f. $=20500$
$Q$ meas $=19000$ (92\%)
$R$ shunt $=3.2 \mathrm{MOhm}$

00 MHz Capacity looded Savity for MAX II and III, F eq 100084
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Q s.f $=25200$
$Q$ meas $=23800$ (94\%)
$R$ shunt $=3.4 \mathrm{MOhm}$




## SUMMARY

- Both for MAX-II and MAX-III it is favourable to choose a 100 MHz instead of a 500 MHz active system, to reach a large RF acceptance without too large power consumption.
- We get thus a modular system, four stations, with comparatively cheap FM-band transmitters.
- Together with one 500 MHz Landau cavity in each ring we can reach a quite long Touschek lifetimes in both rings.
- The same RF system is also attractive for a highbrilliance 3 GeV ring like MAX IV (see EPAC 2002).

However, one ring at a time!!!!

## TIME PLAN (revised)

Shift of RF in MAX-II: Easter (or Summer) 2004.
Commissioning of MAX-III: End of 2004.
Commissioning of MAX-IV: A little later

